

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of any changes to the claims and the remarks herein. Please contact the undersigned to conduct a telephone interview in accordance with MPEP 713.01 to resolve any remaining requirements and/or issues prior to sending another Office Action. Relevant portions of MPEP 713.01 are included on the signature page of this amendment.

Claims 66 to 102 have been added. Support for the added claims is in original claims 3-39; added claims 66 to 102 depend directly or indirectly from claims 49 and 50.

Claims 49 and 50 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Eldridge. Applicant's respectfully disagree. Eldridge has no teaching of any method of "forming a dielectric coating on said elongated electrical conductors" as recited in claim 1 and the Examiner has not identified where such a teaching can be found in Eldridge. Eldridge has no teaching of "forming a coating of an electrically conductive material on said dielectric coating as recited in application claim 50 and the Examiner has not identified where such a teaching can be found in Eldridge. Thus applicants claims 49 and 50 cannot be anticipated by Eldridge (and neither can the added claims which depend therefrom). In view thereof withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

In view of the remarks herein, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the above-identified application. If the Examiner wishes to discuss the application further, or if additional information would be required, the undersigned will cooperate fully to assist in the prosecution of this application.

Please charge any fee necessary to enter this paper and any previous paper to deposit account 09-0468.

YOR919960186US2

- 11 -

10/066,171

If the above-identified Examiner's Action is a final Action, and if the above-identified application will be abandoned without further action by applicants, applicants file a Notice of Appeal to the Board of Appeals and Interferences appealing the final rejection of the claims in the above-identified Examiner's Action. Please charge deposit account 09-0468 any fee necessary to enter such Notice of Appeal.

In the event that this amendment does not result in allowance of all such claims, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone interview at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

MPEP 713.01 states in part as follows:

Where the response to a first complete action includes a request for an interview or a telephone consultation to be initiated by the examiner, ... the examiner, as soon as he or she has considered the effect of the response, should grant such request if it appears that the interview or consultation would result in expediting the case to a final action.

Respectfully submitted,

By. 

Dr. Daniel P. Morris, Esq.
Reg. No. 32,053
Phone No. (914) 945-3217

IBM Corporation
Intellectual Property Law Dept.
P. O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

YOR919960186US2

- 12 -

10/066,171